Remarks

The above Amendments, these Remarks, and a Request for Continued Examination are in

reply to the Office Action mailed February 11, 2008.

I. Response to Rejections

Claims 1, 9, 12, 15-18, and 20-21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being

unpatentable over Chan (U.S. Publication No. 2003/0028364 A1) in view of Sullivan (US

2030016238), Cohen (US 7024658), and Chong (US 20020184610). Claim 22 was rejected under

35 U.S.C. \$103(a) as being unpatentable over Chan (U.S. Publication No. 2003/0028364 A1) in

view of Sullivan (US 2003/0016238), Cohen (US 7024658), and Chong (US 2002/0184610) and

further in view of Huboi (US 6,799,198).

The claims were amended to better define embodiments of Applicant's invention.

Claim 1

Claim 1 (as amended) states:

A system for extending online help for an integrated development environment, comprising:

a help system that processes documentation content upon import of an integrated

development environment extension, wherein the help system includes context-sensitive help topics;

and

a help display, wherein search capabilities and table of contents are automatically updated

after the integrated development environment extension is imported, wherein the help display is

configured to display content in a web browser:

wherein the documentation content can support delivery of help for a particular component in

- 5 -

a single archive file

wherein the help system includes a control and a control property;

wherein when the integrated development environment extension is imported, documentation

for the integrated development environment extension is integrated with the help system during the

import of the integrated development environment extension.

Claim 1 was amended to include the features of "wherein when the integrated development

environment extension is imported, documentation for the integrated development environment

extension is integrated with the help system during the import of the integrated development

environment extension." None of the cited references teach or suggest these features. Neither does

Huboi, which was cited by the Office Action against Claim 22. In the discussion of Claim 22, the

Office Action argued that Huboi discloses installation of a control and corresponding documentation

being integrated in a help system (col. 13, line 39-col. 14, line 1). Huboi describes a method of

providing user specific help in a web based help environment. The cited portion of Huboi describes

Huboi's Figure 4, which shows an application page, a help page, a help links file, a help links index

file, and a customized help setup page. Line 64 of col. 13 to line 2 of col. 14 states, "Upon

installation of animated help files, the ShowDemo button is added to the corresponding help file.

Adding the links to demos can be a manual process after help is generated. Preferably, a tool checks

what is installed in the ShowDemo folder and the tool adds the link to the individual help files."

Huboi is teaching that after installing help files, links are activated that enable the ShowDemo button

to display the help information contained in the newly installed help files to a user. In contrast,

Applicant's Claim 1 automatically integrates help documentation for an integrated development

environment extension when an integrated development environment extension is imported into an

- 6 -

integrated development environment.

Furthermore, the Office Action argued that Chan, Sullivan, Chong, and Cohen suggested

Claim 1's requirement of "a help system that processes documentation content upon import of an

 $integrated\ development\ environment\ extension."\ However, the\ cited\ features\ of\ Chan\ do\ not\ support$

the Office Action's argument. While paragraph 5 of Chan describes context sensitive help, Chan

does not describe a help system that processes documentation content upon import of an integrated

development environment extension.

Applicant respectfully submits that the embodiment as defined in Independent Claim 1 is not

obvious in view of Chan, Sullivan, Cohen, Chong, and Huboi. Applicant respectfully requests that

the 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) rejection to claim 1 be withdrawn.

Claim 22

The Office Action found the features of Claim 22 unpatentable over the combination of

Chan, Sullivan, Cohen, Chong, and Huboi. None of the cited references teach or suggest Claim 22.

The Office Action argued that Huboi discloses installation of a control and corresponding

documentation being integrated in a help system (col. 13, line 39-col. 14, line 1). Huboi describes a

method of providing user specific help in a web based help environment. The cited portion of Huboi

describes Huboi's Figure 4, which shows an application page, a help page, a help links file, a help

links index file, and a customized help setup page. Line 64 of col. 13 to line 2 of col. 14 states,

"Upon installation of animated help files, the ShowDemo button is added to the corresponding help

file. Adding the links to demos can be a manual process after help is generated. Preferably, a tool

checks what is installed in the ShowDemo folder and the tool adds the link to the individual help

files," Huboi is teaching that after installing help files, links are activated that enable the

-7-

ShowDemo button to display the help information contained in the newly installed help files to a

user. In contrast, Applicant's Claim 22 automatically integrates help documentation for a control

when a control is added to an integrated development environment.

Applicant respectfully submits that the embodiment as defined in Independent Claim 22 is

not obvious in view of Chan, Sullivan, Cohen, Chong, and Huboi. Applicant respectfully requests

that the 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) rejection to claim 22 be withdrawn.

Dependent Claims 9, 12, 15-18, and 20-24

Dependent Claims 9, 12, 15-18, and 20-24 depend from Claim 1. For at least the reasons

discussed above with regards to Claim 1, dependent Claims 9, 12, 15-18, and 20-24 are also

patentable. Dependent claims 9, 12, 15-18, and 20-24 add their own features which render them

patentable in their own right.

IV. Conclusion

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the claims now pending in the

subject patent application should be allowable, and a Notice of Allowance is requested. The

Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned if he can assist in any way in

expediting issuance of a patent.

- 8 -

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to

Deposit Account No. 06-1325 for any matter in connection with this response, including any fee for

extension of time, which may be required.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 7, 2008

By: /Thomas K. Plunkett/ Thomas K. Plunkett Reg. No. 57,253

Customer No. 23910 FLIESLER MEYER LLP 650 California Street, 14th Floor San Francisco, California 94108 Telephone: (415) 362-3800